SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA PIMA COUNTY JUVENILE COURT PRELIMINARY PROTECTIVE HEARING ORDER

Case Name:	JD		Removal Date:	
Hearing Date:	Scheduled Time:		Judicial Officer:	
1. COURT APPOINTED O	COUNSEL:			
Based on the financial statem	nents, the Court appoints counse	el and assesses	costs as follows	:
Party	Attorney	Appointed (Y/N)	Assessment	Monthly payment
Mother				
Mother				
Father				
Father				
Child/ren				
Other				
Other				
faith efforts to have regular reasonable time before any s	ERED to give their contact info communications with their atto substantive hearing. Parent(s) if any changes to their address, p	orneys through s/are ordered	out the case, inc to keep his/her a	luding within a attorney and the
THE COURT FINDS coun prior to the hearing	sel has complied with their o	bligations to	communicate w	ith their client
Children: Mother: Mother: Father: Father:	-			

The Court asks the parties if there are any reasons the proceedings should be closed to the public.
A closed hearing is requestedYes No
After consideration, the Court finds good cause to close the proceedings because an open hearing:
 Is not in the minor(s) best interest. Would endanger the child's physical or emotional well-being. Would endanger the safety of any other person. Detrimentally affects the privacy rights of the child, the child's siblings, parents, guardians and caregivers and other persons. That all parties did not agree to allowing the proceedings to be open. The minor (if older than twelve) objects to hearing being open. An open proceeding could cause specific material harm to a criminal investigation. Other
The Court admonishes all attendees that they are prohibited by order of the Court from disclosing outside the hearing personally identifiable information about the child, the child's siblings, parents, guardians, or caregivers and any others mentioned in the hearing.
Personal identifiable information includes name, address, date of birth, social security number, tribal enrollment number, telephone number, driver license number, place of employment, school identification or military identification or any other distinguishing characteristics that tend to
\cdot
tribal enrollment number, telephone number, driver license number, place of employment, school identification or military identification or any other distinguishing characteristics that tend to
tribal enrollment number, telephone number, driver license number, place of employment, school identification or military identification or any other distinguishing characteristics that tend to identify a particular person. A person who knowingly and voluntarily remains in the courtroom after the admonishment submits to the jurisdiction of the Court and shall abide by the orders of the Court prohibiting
tribal enrollment number, telephone number, driver license number, place of employment, school identification or military identification or any other distinguishing characteristics that tend to identify a particular person. A person who knowingly and voluntarily remains in the courtroom after the admonishment submits to the jurisdiction of the Court and shall abide by the orders of the Court prohibiting disclosure of that information. Failure to abide by the orders shall be deemed contempt of Court.

Case No.:

Page 3	Date:	Case No.:
4. <u>JURISDICTION</u> :		
THE COURT FIND	S:	
(a) State:		
it has jurisd	iction over the persons and subject matt	ter pursuant to A.R.S. § 8-202(B); or
	nporary emergency jurisdiction pursu A cases when a Tribal Court has issued	uant to the UCCJEA A.R.S. §25-1034 a custody order for a child.)
(b) ICWA:		
Exclusive Juris	diction for Tribe/Nation, based on res	sidence/domicile.
The Cou		e may have jurisdiction or has exclusive
the In	dian child's parent/s is/are married and	reside or are domiciled on the reservation.
OR		
	use the Indian child's parent/s is/are not on the reservation pursuant to § 1911(a	married, and the custodial parent resides on and 25 U.S.C. § 23.2.
	THEREFORE, THE COURT FIN	NDS that it has temporary emergency
	nine whether the tribe/nation has exclus	all work with the parties and the tribe/nation give jurisdiction and advise the Court by the

5. ICWA Inquiry:

The Court inquires whether any party or participant knows or has reason to know under 25 C.F.R § 23.107 that any child at issue is an Indian child and is an enrolled member of a Tribe or eligible for membership and a biological parent is a tribal member. (e.g., Is there any Native American heritage in the family? (Not based solely on a DNA test.) Are there family members enrolled or affiliated with a Tribe? Is there any family who has lived on a Reservation or participated in cultural activities? Are there any family members that had a case in Tribal Court or another Court where ICWA applied? Is there any other reason to believe the child/ren might be an Indian Child?)

The response was:	
Yes (List name/s of Tribe/s)	(GO TO (a) &
(b) immediately below)	
Reason to Know (List name/s of Tribe/s)	(GO TO (d) below)
Undetermined (GO TO (e) below.)	
No; therefore, the COURT FINDS ICWA does not apply.	(Go to Section 6. Paternity.)
(a) Notification.	
THE COURT FINDS pursuant to 25 C.F.R. § 23.112 made efforts OR made NO efforts to notify an identify Custodian about this proceeding.	
(b) ICWA Applies.	
THE COURT FINDS THAT ICWA applies for child	d/ren:•
IT IS ORDERED that the Petitioner notify the child/ren's Tribe/s, or the Secretary of the Immail.	=
(c) Intervention.	
IT IS ORDERED that Community is intervened as a party.	Tribe/Nation/Indian
(GO TO (g) Imminent Harm)	
(d) Reason to Know ICWA Applies.	
List name/s of child/ren for which Reason to Know	w ICWA applies:

Case No.:

Page 4

IT IS ORDERED that the Petitioner notify the Parent/s, Indian Custodian, the child/ren's Tribe/s, or the Secretary of the Interior by registered or certified mail.

The Petitioner must use due diligence to identify and work with all Tribes in which there is reason to know. Petitioner must treat the child as an Indian Child, unless and until it is determined on the record that the child does not meet the "Indian Child" definition. 25 C.F.R § 23.107(a)(2).

(GO TO (g) *Imminent Harm*)

	THE COURT FINDS THAT ICWA is undetermined for
	(children)
	IT IS ORDERED that the Petitioner is to notify the Tribe/s to determine whether the child/ren may be a member, or eligible for membership and obtain verification of the child/ren's Indian status prior to the next hearing.
	IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Parent/s or Indian Custodian provide the following information to the Petitioner:
	(FOR UNDETERMINED, GO TO Section 6, Paternity)
	cial Assignment. If response was 'Yes' or there is a 'Reason to Know' ICWA applies, and ase is not assigned to an ICWA Judge,
	ORDER the case be reassigned to ICWA Court Judge
(g) <u>Imm</u>	inent Harm Findings:
Т	HE COURT FINDS:
	The child/ren was/were removed from the physical custody of the Parent/s/Indian Custodian after a finding by a court that there was the risk of imminent physical damage or harm to the Indian child/ren, pursuant to the requirements of ICWA 25 U.S.C.A. § 1922, 25 C.F.R. § 23.113, and AZ ST JUV CT Rule 47.3.
OR	
	The child/ren was/were removed on an emergency basis pursuant to 25 C.F.R. § 23.113 AND imminent harm findings were made in the temporary orders.
0.5	

No in	nminent harm findings were made pursuant to 25 C.F.R. § 23.114 and
	THE COURT FINDS that returning the child/ren to the parent or Indian custodian would subject the child/ren to substantial and immediate danger or threat of such danger
	OR
	THE COURT FINDS that return of the child/ren would NOT subject the child/ren to substantial and immediate danger or threat of such danger and ORDERS that the child/ren shall be immediately returned to the parent/s.
	Parent/s and Indian Custodian DOES NOT contest the finding of imminent mage or harm to the Indian child/ren pursuant to 25 C.F.R. §§ 23.113, 23.114:
Mother: Mother: Father:	Father:
	Parent/s and Indian Custodian DO contest the finding of imminent physical arm to the Indian child/ren pursuant to 25 C.F.R. §§ 23.113, 23.114:
Mother: Mother: Father:	Father:
improperly r	or Indian Custodian contest/s that the Indian child/ren may have been removed, pursuant to 25 C.F.R. § 23.114, GO TO <i>CONTESTED REMOVAL</i> Form).
(h) Placement :	
THE COURT I	FINDS THAT AS TO(child/ren's name/s)
The findin	g of whether placement complies with ICWA 25 U.S.C. § 1915 is deferred ;
	DURT FINDS THAT the placement is in accordance with the placement U.S.C. § 1915; OR
	URT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to e preferences because

Case No.:

Page 7	Date:	Case No.:

6. PATERNITY:

THE COURT FINDS:

Paternity	Minor/Father	Birth Certificate	Marriage	DNA	Other
Y/N					
Y/N					
Y/N					
Additional ord	ers regarding pate	rnity:			
IT IS OR hearing purpos) case #		is consolidate	ed with this matter for
In SP/D ca	ase # current child	support is modifi	ied to zero. Any ar	rearage orders s	hall remain in effect.
IT IS ORDER	RED:				
P	arent/s are to coop aternity be establish authorizing the exe	shed through DNA		Safety to establi	sh Paternity.
7. DOCUME	NTS REVIEWEI	<u>D:</u>			
	•		case plan filed purs		\$8-823(D)
THE CO CONFEI	RENCE re waiving a revie	ADVISED OF	THE RESULTS (HEARING
	: 	Other:	Father: _		
wioiner	··	Father:	rainer: _		

Parents request a review			
Mother: Mother:	Other: Father:	Father:	_
			e or neglect as its first priority.
THE COURT FINDS to necessary to prevent abuse or to	*	e to believe contir	nued temporary custody is clearly
IT IS ORDERED:			
The child/ren shall remain	placed as set forth in	the attached case pl	lan summary.
The child/ren shall be p	laced with		
Are any of the children in a "re	eceiving foster home"	sometimes referred	to as "shelter care?"
Yes No	<u></u>		
If yes,			
a receiving foster home for a Department of Child Safety s days prior to the three-week of	more than three-week hall file a notice with leadline indicating: (1) teks; and (2) that judic	s, the Assistant A the Court copied to the date on which cial review of the co	t if it appears that a child will be in ttorney General on behalf of the to all parties at least five business in the child will be in the receiving child's placement is necessary and
(If siblings are not placed tog	gether):		
THE COURT ORDERS that visitation or other ongoing cor	-	•	ake reasonable efforts for frequen
	ended family, with wh	nom Department of	g foster home, pre-adoptive parent Child Safety has placed the child child.
A relative having been ide Court has notified the relative			nild and being present in court, the graph with respect to the child.
-	assess placement with	the child's grand	s identified and assessed is a liparent or another member of the onship with the child.

Case No.:

The parents or guardians are ordered, within 10 days to provide the names, type of relationship, and all of the available information necessary to locate persons related to the child or who have a significant relationship with the child, including any absent parents. The parents or guardians are further ordered to inform the Department of Child Safety if the parent or guardian becomes aware of new information related to the existence or location of a relative or person with a significant relationship to the child.
9. CHILDREN'S SERVICES AND EDUCATION:
The Court finds that the services proposed for the child/ren in the preliminary protective hearing report are /are not necessary and appropriate and makes the following additional orders:
The Department of Child Safety is ordered to follow the recommendations and implement the services identified in the 72-hour rapid response behavioral health assessment.
THE COURT FINDS that the Department of Child Safety has has not made arrangements for the assembly of the medical records for the child(ren), a medical assessment of the child/ren, the implementation of referrals and the communication of recommendations and results, as provided by law.
Education Issues:
1. Is the child in school (ages 6 and up)? Is the child enrolled in an early intervention/preschool program (ages 0-5)?
2. Does the child have any educational special needs?
3. How will educational responsibilities be divided to ensure that the child's educational needs are being met?
THE COURT FINDS that the parenting time/visitation (for relatives/kinship) and services proposed in the attached case plan summary as amended are / are not necessary and appropriate.
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the petitioner make reasonable efforts to implement the parenting time/visitation and services outlined in the case plan summary.

Case No.:

10. REASONABLE EFFORTS FINDINGS:

Pursuant to	42 U.S.C. 672(a)(1)	, THE COU	RT FINDS THAT:		
	fy the family, include	ding:	reasonable efforts to p		
family based	l upon the following	g: 	maintain the child/ren		<u>.</u>
OR Departs family.	ment of Child Safet	y failed to n	nake reasonable efforts	to prevent removal	and reunify the
11. ADDITIO	NAL ORDERS: (e.g., appoint	ment of CASA, GAL, F	Protective Orders, S	upport)
12. <u>PLEA:</u>					
Mother enters a	nadmission _	denial	no contest to the	PetitionAmo	ended Petition
Mother enters a	nadmission _	denial	no contest to the	PetitionAme	ended Petition
Father enters an	nadmission _	denial	no contest to the	_PetitionAme	ended Petition
Father enters an	nadmission _	denial	no contest to the	_PetitionAme	ended Petition
Other (name)_	admission	denial	no contest to the	enters a	n/an

Page 11 Date: Case No.:

13. <u>FUTURE HEARINGS:</u>

Initial Hearing completed: for Mother Mother Fat	her Father
Initial Hearing reset: set onata.m./p.r MotherFatherFatherTribeGuar Hon	m. for Mother before the
Published Initial Hearing: set onatatatatatatatat	.m./p.m. for Mother Other:
Facilitated Settlement Conference set for Mother set ona Hon, before Mediator	ata.m./p.m. before the
PTC/Status/Adjudication Hearing for Mother set onat Hon Vacated upon attendance	a.m./p.m. before the
Facilitated Settlement Conference set for Fatherset on before the Hon, before Mediator	
PTC/Status/Adjudication Hearing for Father set onat Hon Vacated upon attendance	a.m./p.m. before the
Facilitated Settlement Conference set for Fatherset on before the Hon, before Mediator	-
PTC/Status/Adjudication Hearing for Father set onat Hon Vacated upon attendance	a.m./p.m. before the
Facilitated Settlement Conference set for Fatherset on before the Hon, before Mediator	
PTC/Status/Adjudication Hearing for Father set onat Hon Vacated upon attendance	a.m./p.m. before the
Dependency Review set onata.m./p.m	1.
Permanency Hearing set onata.m./p.m	
☐ ICWA Adjudication Testimony Hearing set on	
Other hearings:	ata.m./p.m.

IT IS ORDERED that the parents, caseworker, and the attorneys be present at all future hearings.

Page 12 Date: Case No.:

14. **DISCLOSURE:**

The parties are **ORDERED** to comply with Rules 44 and 45, Rules of Procedure for the Juvenile Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the case managers disclose their reports as required by Rule 58 C, Rules of Procedure for the Juvenile Court, no later than fifteen (15) days prior to any scheduled Dependency Review. Objections to disclosed reports shall be made within five (5) days of receipt of the report.

15. NOTICE/ORAL ADMONISHMENT:

Mother	Father	Other
		Custodian understands their right out good cause and failing to participate
IT IS ORDERED that the parattorney so that it may be filed in the o		otice to Parent and return a copy to the
The Court provides the Noti	ce to Children over the A	ge of 12 to:
Counsel for Minor(s):		
Child/Children:		
	Dated thisday	of, 202
	HONORABLE	
Distribution for:		orney for Father: orney for Father:
DCS:		gal Guardian/Indian Custodian
Caseworker:		pe/s:
Court and Calendar Services		er: FCRB
	Ass	essments
Attorney General:		
Attorney General:Attorney for Minor/s:Attorney for Mother:		nsolidation Clerk
The state of the s	1255	